

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

to the

President of Indiana University, Bloomington

1. [NCAA Bylaws 2.8.1, 2.8.3 and 19.01.4, and NCAA Infractions Report No. 250]

It is alleged that from March 29, 2006, through July 31, 2007, Indiana University, Bloomington (Indiana), and members of the men's basketball staff failed to comply with the penalties assessed by the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions in Infractions Report No. 250 when Kelvin Sampson, head men's basketball coach; Jeff Meyer, assistant men's basketball coach; and Rob Senderoff, then assistant men's basketball coach, placed or participated in telephone calls that violated recruiting restrictions imposed on the institution, Sampson and the men's basketball staff as penalty for Sampson's prior involvement in violations of NCAA legislation. Specifically:

- a. Sampson and Senderoff engaged in multiple telephone calls that violated a recruiting restriction prohibiting Sampson from being present when members of his staff made telephone calls related in any way to recruiting (Penalty L of NCAA Infractions Report No. 250; as adopted by and transferred to Indiana).
- b. Senderoff and Meyer placed approximately 100 telephone calls that violated the following recruiting restrictions:
 - (1) Telephone calls were reduced from one call per month to one call every other month to prospective student-athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) on or after June 15 of the prospect's sophomore year in high school (Penalty E of NCAA Infractions Report No. 250; as adopted by and transferred to Indiana).
 - (2) Telephone calls were reduced from two calls per week to one call per week to prospective student-athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) on or after August 1 of their senior year in high school (Penalty F of NCAA Infractions Report No. 250; as adopted by and transferred to Indiana).

Please indicate whether this information is substantially correct and whether the institution agrees that a violation of NCAA legislation occurred. Submit evidence to support your response.

Also, please provide the following:

- a. A chart outlining each impermissible call that includes the (1) identity of the person who placed the call; (2) identity of the prospective student-athlete, the

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 2

prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) telephoned; (3) date, time and duration of the call; and (4) reason the call is impermissible.

- b. A copy of the institution's report to the Committee on Infractions, dated October 3, 2007, including all attachments thereto. Please identify any information that has been added or amended since the submission of the October 3 report.
- c. A copy of the institution's report to the Committee on Infractions, dated August 1, 2006, which detailed the institution's monitoring of and rules-education sessions for Sampson and his staff, and included documentation of Indiana's compliance with all penalties adopted by and transferred to the institution.
- d. Copies of any written or electronic correspondence with the men's basketball staff concerning compliance with the Committee on Infractions' recruiting restrictions that were not included in the institution's previous reports to the Committee on Infractions.
- e. A statement indicating the reason the impermissible telephone calls were made in light of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions' prohibition of such conduct.
- f. A detailed description and explanation of all disciplinary actions taken against members of the men's basketball staff based on their involvement in or knowledge of violations of Committee on Infractions' restrictions, as determined by the institution and as set forth in this allegation. In that regard, please provide an explanation as to the reasons the institution believes these actions were appropriate, indicate the dates that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to members of the men's basketball staff describing the disciplinary actions taken.

2. [NCAA Bylaw 13.1.3.1.2]

It was reported that from May 7, 2006, through July 17, 2007, Jeff Meyer, assistant men's basketball coach, and Rob Senderoff, then assistant men's basketball coach, placed at least 25 impermissible telephone calls to multiple prospective student-athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s). Specifically, it was reported that:

- a. From May 31, 2006, through June 7, 2006, Senderoff placed two impermissible telephone calls to prospective student-athlete Yancey Gates, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s), prior to June 15 of his sophomore year in high school.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 3

- b. Senderoff made the following impermissible telephone calls after he had already made a permissible call to that individual during that month (one call per month permitted on or after June 15 of a prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school, through July 31 of his junior year in high school):
- (1) On May 11, 2006, Senderoff placed an impermissible call to then prospective student-athlete Evan Turner, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (2) During May 2006, Senderoff placed three impermissible calls to then prospective student-athlete Demetri McCamey, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (3) On June 29, 2006, Senderoff placed an impermissible call to prospective student-athlete Markieff Morris, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (4) During July 2006, Senderoff placed two impermissible calls to then prospective student-athlete DeJuan Blair, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (5) From March 1 through July 17, 2007, Senderoff placed 22 impermissible calls to prospective student-athlete Jonathan "Bud" Mackey, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (6) From March 26 through April 15, 2007, Senderoff placed three impermissible calls to prospective student-athlete Philip Jurick, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
- c. Meyer made the following impermissible telephone calls after he had already made a permissible call to that individual during that month (one call per month permitted on or after June 15 of a prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school, through July 31 of his junior year in high school):
- (1) On July 18, 2006, Meyer placed an impermissible call to then prospective student-athlete Scott Martin, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
 - (2) From June 29 through July 10, 2006, Meyer placed six impermissible calls to then prospective student-athlete Robbie Hummel, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 4

Please indicate whether this information is substantially correct and whether the institution agrees that a violation of NCAA legislation occurred. If the institution agrees that a violation of NCAA legislation occurred, please indicate whether the institution believes the violation to be a major or secondary violation. Submit evidence to support your response.

Also, please provide the following:

- a. A chart outlining each impermissible call that includes the (1) identity of the person who placed the call; (2) identity of the prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) telephoned; (3) date, time and duration of the call; and (4) reason the call is impermissible.
- b. A copy of the institution's October 25, 2007, self-report to the NCAA of the violations detailed in this allegation. Please identify any information that has been added or amended since the submission of the October 25 report.
- c. An overview of the NCAA rules education related to telephone contacts that the institution provided to the men's basketball program, including the dates of the education sessions.
- d. An overview of the institution's compliance procedures for monitoring telephone calls to prospective student-athletes during the relevant time period of March 2006 through July 2007.
- e. A copy of any telephone logs the men's basketball program produced covering the weeks when the impermissible telephone calls occurred.
- f. A statement indicating the reason the impermissible calls were made to the prospective student-athletes in light of NCAA legislation prohibiting such conduct.
- g. A detailed description and explanation of all disciplinary actions taken against members of the men's basketball staff based on their involvement in or knowledge of violations of NCAA legislation, as determined by the institution and as set forth in this allegation. In that regard, please provide an explanation as to the reasons the institution believes these actions were appropriate, indicate the dates that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to members of the men's basketball staff describing the disciplinary actions taken.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 5

3. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(d) and 11.1.2.1]

It is alleged that (a) during the period of time beginning May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Kelvin Sampson, head men's basketball coach, acted contrary to the NCAA principles of ethical conduct when he knowingly violated recruiting restrictions imposed by the NCAA Committee on Infractions, as penalty for Sampson's prior involvement in violations of NCAA legislation; (b) Sampson failed to deport himself in accordance with the generally recognized high standard of honesty normally associated with the conduct and administration of intercollegiate athletics by providing the institution and the NCAA enforcement staff false or misleading information; and (c) Sampson failed to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men's basketball program and failed to monitor the activities regarding compliance of one or more of his assistant coaches. Specifically:

- a. Concerning Sampson's knowing violation of recruiting restrictions, on a number of occasions from May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Sampson was present while a member of his coaching staff made telephone calls related to recruiting. Sampson was prohibited from doing so pursuant to penalty L, NCAA Infractions Report No. 250; as adopted by and transferred to Indiana University, Bloomington. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1]

Specifically, on a number of occasions from May 31, 2006, through May 1, 2007, Sampson knowingly participated in three-way telephone conversations between himself, then assistant men's basketball coach Rob Senderoff, and prospective student-athletes Yancey Gates and William Buford Jr. Sampson also participated in three-way conversations between himself; Senderoff, and then prospective student-athletes DeJuan Blair, Demetri McCamey and [REDACTED]. Furthermore, Sampson participated in three-way conversations between himself, Senderoff, and Yvonne Jackson, mother of prospective student-athlete Devin Ebanks. Sampson participated in the three-way telephone conversations despite being instructed not to do so by the institution's compliance staff and despite receiving specific clarification from the Committee on Infractions that three-way calls were prohibited.

Additionally, on a number of occasions from May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Sampson participated in recruiting calls made by Senderoff in the following ways:

- (1) Sampson participated by speakerphone in recruiting calls placed by Senderoff to [REDACTED] and prospective student-athlete Marcus Morris.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 6

- (2) Sampson was present during one or more recruiting calls placed by Senderoff to prospective student-athlete Kenny Frease. Senderoff then handed Sampson the phone and allowed Sampson to speak with Frease.
- (3) While Senderoff was in the presence of then prospective student-athletes Blair, Ayodele Coker and [REDACTED], the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) during off-campus recruiting contacts; Senderoff called Sampson and allowed Sampson to speak with the prospective-student athlete, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).
- (4) Sampson spoke with Erica Mackey, mother of prospective student-athlete Jonathan "Bud" Mackey, via Senderoff's cell phone, while Senderoff was in the presence of Ms. Mackey.

Concerning Sampson's provision of false or misleading information, Sampson repeatedly provided the institution and the enforcement staff false information regarding his involvement in violations of the Committee on Infractions' recruiting restrictions. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1-(d)]

Specifically, during a November 13, 2007, interview with the institution and the enforcement staff, Sampson stated that at the time of the violations, he was unaware that Senderoff was using three-way calls to allow him to speak with prospective-student athletes the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardian(s) or coaches. Sampson further stated that he did not engage in three-way conversations with prospective student-athletes or their relatives during the period of recruiting restrictions. Additionally, Sampson stated that there was never an instance when he was on the phone with a prospective student-athlete when Senderoff also spoke. Finally, Sampson stated that he never spoke with Buford.

In fact, Sampson engaged in three-way telephone conversations with multiple prospective student-athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s), as set forth in this allegation, including a June 19, 2006, three-way telephone conversation between himself, Senderoff and Buford. In addition, Sampson participated in speakerphone conversations involving himself, Senderoff and prospective student-athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s), as set forth in this allegation.

- c. Concerning Sampson's failure to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men's basketball program and failure to monitor the activities regarding compliance of one or more of his assistant coaches, Sampson (1) failed to

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 7

promote compliance with the recruiting restrictions imposed by the Committee on Infractions, (2) failed to promote compliance with applicable NCAA legislation concerning telephone recruiting calls and (3) failed to monitor the documentation of recruiting calls by the men's basketball staff required to ensure compliance. [NCAA Bylaw 11.1.2.1]

Specifically, Sampson failed in these three regards, as evidenced by the facts and circumstances set forth in Allegation Nos. 1 and 2 of this notice.

Please indicate whether this information is substantially correct and whether the institution agrees that a violation of NCAA legislation occurred. Submit evidence to support your response.

Also, please provide the following:

- a. A detailed description and explanation of all disciplinary actions taken against Sampson based on his involvement in or knowledge of violations of Committee on Infractions restrictions, as determined by the institution and as detailed in this inquiry. In that regard, please provide an explanation as to the reasons the institution believes these actions were appropriate, indicate the dates that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to Sampson describing the disciplinary actions taken.
- b. A statement indicating Sampson's dates of employment at the institution and positions held by Sampson at the institution.
- c. A list of all of the dates Sampson was interviewed by the institution or provided information to institutional administrators about his knowledge of or involvement in the violations set forth in this allegation. Please include a statement detailing the information reported by Sampson to the institution and athletics department staff members concerning the violations set forth in this allegation.

4. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1 and 10.1-(d)]

It is alleged that (a) during the period of time beginning May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Rob Senderoff, then assistant men's basketball coach, acted contrary to the NCAA principles of ethical conduct when he knowingly violated recruiting restrictions imposed by the NCAA Committee on Infractions, as penalty for head men's basketball coach Kelvin Sampson's prior involvement in violations of NCAA legislation; and (b) Senderoff failed to deport himself in accordance with the generally recognized high standard of honesty normally associated with the conduct and administration of

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 8

intercollegiate athletics by providing the institution false or misleading information. Specifically:

- a. Concerning Senderoff's knowing violation of the Committee on Infractions' restrictions, on a number of occasions from May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Senderoff placed telephone calls related to recruiting while in the presence of Sampson. Sampson was prohibited from being present while members of his staff placed telephone calls related to recruiting, pursuant to penalty L, Infractions Report No. 250; as adopted by and transferred to Indiana University, Bloomington. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1]

Specifically, on multiple occasions from May 31, 2006, through May 1, 2007, Senderoff knowingly used three-way telephone calls to connect Sampson to then prospective student-athletes DeJuan Blair, Ayodele Coker and [REDACTED] the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardian(s) or coaches; and to prospective student-athletes William Buford Jr., Devin Ebanks and Yancey Gates, the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardian(s) or coaches.

Additionally, on a number of occasions from May 31, 2006, through May 1, 2007, Senderoff knowingly participated in three-way telephone conversations between himself, Sampson, and Gates and Buford. Senderoff also participated in three-way conversations between himself, Sampson and then prospective student-athletes Blair, Demetri McCamey and [REDACTED]. Furthermore, Sampson participated in three-way conversations between himself, Sampson, and Yvonne Jackson, Ebanks' mother. Senderoff participated in the three-way telephone conversations despite being instructed not to do so by the institution's compliance staff and despite receiving specific clarification from the Committee on Infractions that three-way calls were prohibited.

Furthermore, on a number of occasions from May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2007, Sampson participated in the following recruiting calls made by Senderoff:

- (1) Senderoff allowed Sampson to participate by speakerphone in telephone calls he placed to prospective student-athlete Marcus Morris and [REDACTED]
- (2) Senderoff placed one or more recruiting calls to prospective student-athlete Kenny Frease. Senderoff then handed Sampson the phone and allowed Sampson to speak with Frease.
- (3) While in the presence of Blair, Coker and [REDACTED] the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s) during off-campus recruiting contacts, Senderoff called Sampson and allowed Sampson to speak with

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 9

the prospective-student athletes, the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardian(s).

(4) While in the presence of Erica Mackey, mother of prospective student-athlete Jonathan "Bud" Mackey, Senderoff allowed Sampson to speak with Ms. Mackey via Senderoff's cell phone.

b. Concerning Senderoff's provision of false or misleading information, on multiple occasions, Senderoff submitted false telephone recruiting call documentation to the institution's compliance staff. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1-(d)]

Specifically, Senderoff provided the institution with signed monthly statements indicating that he had not used his home telephone to place recruiting calls during the months of June, July and September 2006; and during the months of February through July 2007. Senderoff also provided the institution weekly recruiting logs corresponding with those same months, which also indicated that he had not used his home telephone to place recruiting calls. In fact, Senderoff placed at least one recruiting call from his home telephone in each of the months identified. The institution reported that Senderoff placed at least 30 telephone calls from his home phone that were violations of the recruiting restrictions imposed on the men's basketball staff by the Committee on Infractions, as set forth in Allegation No. 1; and at least 15 telephone calls placed from Senderoff's home phone that were violations of NCAA legislation, as set forth in Allegation No. 2.

Please indicate whether this information is substantially correct and whether the institution agrees that a violation of NCAA legislation occurred. Submit evidence to support your response.

a. A detailed description and explanation of all disciplinary actions taken against Senderoff based on his involvement in or knowledge of violations of the Committee on Infractions' restrictions, as determined by the institution and as detailed in this inquiry. In that regard, please provide an explanation as to the reasons the institution believes these actions were appropriate, indicate the dates that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to Senderoff describing the disciplinary actions taken.

b. A statement indicating Senderoff's dates of employment at the institution and positions held by Senderoff at the institution.

c. A list of all of the dates Senderoff was interviewed by the institution or provided information to institutional administrators about his knowledge of or involvement in the violations set forth in this allegation. Please include a statement detailing

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 10

the information reported by Senderoff to the institution and athletics department staff members concerning the violations set forth in this allegation.

5. [NCAA Bylaws 13.12.1.3 and 13.2.2-(b)]

On June 30, 2007, Kelvin Sampson, head men's basketball coach, and Jeff Meyer, assistant men's basketball coach engaged in an impermissible recruiting contact with a prospective student-athlete. On July 1, 2007, Meyer provided the prospective student-athlete with an impermissible benefit.

- a. Concerning Sampson's and Meyer's impermissible recruiting contact, it was reported that Sampson and Meyer impermissibly recruited prospective student-athlete Derek Elston (Tipton, Indiana) during Elston's participation in the institution's two-day sports camp held June 30 and July 1, 2007. [NCAA Bylaw 13.12.1.3]

Specifically, on June 30, 2006, Meyer arranged a meeting between himself, Sampson, Elston and Elston's coach, Travis Daugherty, head boys' basketball coach at Tipton High School. The meeting took place on the evening of June 30 in the men's basketball coaches' locker room in Assembly Hall after Elston's team had finished competition for the day. During the meeting, Elston was told that he was the type of player they would like to have playing basketball at the institution. At the conclusion of the meeting, Meyer told Daugherty that the institution planned to offer Elston a scholarship at a later date. At the time of the meeting, Elston had not concluded all camp activities, and in fact, Elston participated in camp activities the following day, July 1, 2007.

- b. Concerning Meyer's provision of an impermissible benefit, it is alleged that Meyer provided Elston a gift of clothing and equipment prior to Elston's departure from this institutional camp. [NCAA Bylaw 13.2.2-(b)]

Specifically, after Elston had concluded camp activities July 1, 2007, but prior to Elston's departure from the institution's campus, Meyer again spoke with Elston and Daugherty. During the conversation, Meyer retrieved at least one drawstring backpack and at least one T-shirt from an area where Indiana University, Bloomington, merchandise was being sold. Meyer then handed the items to Daugherty while in the presence of Elston and made a statement indicating that Daugherty should give the items to Elston on their return home. On returning home, Daugherty gave the backpack and T-shirt to Elston.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 11

Please indicate whether this information is substantially correct and whether the institution agrees that violations of NCAA legislation occurred. If the institution agrees that violations of NCAA legislation occurred, please indicate whether the institution believes that the violations are major or secondary violations. Submit evidence to support your response.

Also, please provide the following:

- a. A detailed description and explanation of all disciplinary actions taken against Meyer based on his involvement in or knowledge of violations of NCAA legislation, as determined by the institution and as detailed in this inquiry. In that regard, please provide an explanation as to the reasons the institution believes these actions were appropriate, indicate the dates that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to Meyer describing the disciplinary actions taken.
- b. The reason the impermissible recruiting contact occurred, in light of NCAA legislation prohibiting such conduct.
- c. The reason the impermissible benefit was provided to Elston, in light of NCAA legislation prohibiting such conduct.
- d. The identities of all athletics department staff members involved in or having knowledge of the receipt of the impermissible benefit by Elston. Also, provide a description of this involvement or knowledge prior to, at the time of and subsequent to the receipt of the impermissible benefit.
- e. A statement summarizing the institution's efforts to reinstate Elston's eligibility. Please include copies of the institution's October 26, 2007, reinstatement request for Elston; the institution's December 18, 2007, revised reinstatement request for Elston; and the reinstatement staff's decision letter. Please include copies of all written statements obtained from Sampson, Meyer, Elston and Daugherty during the institution's efforts to reinstate Elston's eligibility.

Information Requested by the Committee on Infractions

6. Please provide all information concerning other possible violations of NCAA legislation discovered by the institution as a result of its review of this matter. In this regard, please indicate the means by which the information was discovered and the institution's position regarding whether a violation of NCAA legislation occurred.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 12

7. Please provide a detailed description of any corrective or punitive actions implemented by the institution as a result of the violations acknowledged in this inquiry. In that regard, explain why the institution believes these actions to be appropriate and identify the violations on which the actions were based. Additionally, indicate the date(s) that any corrective or punitive actions were implemented.
8. Please provide a detailed description of all disciplinary actions taken against any current or former athletics department staff members as a result of violations acknowledged in this inquiry. In that regard, explain the reasons that the institution believes these actions to be appropriate and identify the violations on which the actions were based. Additionally, indicate the date that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to each individual describing these disciplinary actions.
9. Please provide a statement indicating the dates and titles of all positions at the institution held by individuals identified during the inquiry as allegedly having significant involvement in violations of NCAA legislation, as well as a brief overview of each position. Additionally, provide the dates, title and employer of all positions held by such individuals during the five years prior to the dates of the alleged violations. Furthermore, provide a brief review of the previous major infractions case history for the identified individuals.
10. Please provide a short summary of every major infractions case involving the institution or individuals named in this notice. In this summary, provide the date of the infractions report, a description of the violations found by the Committee on Infractions, the individuals involved, and the penalties and corrective actions. Additionally, please provide a copy of any major infractions reports involving the institution or individuals named in this notice that were issued by the Committee on Infractions within the last 10 years.
11. Please provide a chart depicting the institution's reporting history of secondary violations for the past five years. In this chart, please indicate for each academic year the number of total secondary violations reported involving the institution or individuals named in this notice. Also, please include the applicable bylaws for each violation, and then indicate the number of secondary violations involving just the sports team(s) named in this notice for the same five-year time period.
12. Please provide the institution's overall NCAA division and conference affiliation, as well as the institution's total enrollment and the number of men's and women's sports programs sponsored by the institution.

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 13

13. Please provide a statement describing the general organization and structure of the institution's intercollegiate athletics department, including the identities of those individuals in the athletics department who were responsible for the supervision of all athletics programs during the previous four years, and whether the institution conducts a systematic review of NCAA and institutional regulations for its athletics department employees. If yes, identify the agency, individual or committee responsible for this review and describe the responsibilities and functions of each identified.
14. Please provide the following information concerning the men's basketball program identified in this inquiry:
 - a. The average number of initial and total grants-in-aid that have been awarded during the past four academic years.
 - b. The number of initial and total grants-in-aid in effect for the current academic year (or upcoming academic year if the regular academic year is not in session) and the number anticipated being in effect for the following academic year.
 - c. The identities of all student-athletes anticipated to be on athletically related financial aid as of the first semester of the next academic year who will have four years of remaining eligibility and the identities of those individuals who have five years of enrollment (per the NCAA's five-year rule) to complete those four years; the identities of all student-athletes who have three years of remaining eligibility and the identities of those individuals who have four years of remaining enrollment to complete those three years; the identities of all student-athletes who have two years of remaining eligibility and the identities of those individuals who have three years of remaining enrollment to complete those two years; and the identities of all student-athletes who have one year of remaining eligibility and the identities of those individuals who have two years of remaining enrollment to complete that year.
 - d. The average number of student-athletes during the previous four years who have redshirted and the number of student-athletes redshirting during the current academic year (or upcoming academic year if regular academic year is not in session).
 - e. The number of student-athletes in each of the previous four years who were awarded athletically related financial aid but withdrew from the squad for reasons other than graduation or loss of eligibility.
 - f. A list of the institution's win-loss record for the past four seasons and the dates and results of all postseason competition in which the institution has participated

NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. M285

February 8, 2008

Page No. 14

during those years. If there was a postseason competition, please indicate how this was earned; i.e., conference automatic bid, at-large bid.

- g. The average number of official paid visits provided by the institution to prospective student-athletes during the past four years.
 - h. The cost of room, board, books and tuition at the institution for the past four academic years.
 - i. Copies of the institution's squad lists for the past four academic years.
 - j. Copies of the institution's media guides for the past four academic years.
 - k. A review of the institution's obligations (contractual or otherwise) concerning live telecasts of contests during the next three seasons. These should include, but not be limited to, contractual agreements negotiated by the institution's conference and opponent, or through its sports network affiliations.
 - l. A statement indicating whether the provisions of NCAA Bylaws 31.2.2.3 and 31.2.2.4 apply to the institution as a result of the involvement of student-athletes in violations noted in this inquiry.
 - m. A statement indicating whether the provisions of NCAA Bylaw 19.5.2.2-(e) apply to the institution as a result of the involvement of student-athletes in violations noted in this inquiry.
15. Any additional information or comments regarding this case are welcome.